

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 ALI SHAHROKHI,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 JUDGE MATHEW HARTER, et al.,

11 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01623-JAD-NJK

ORDER

[Docket Nos. 64, 66]

12 On November 16, 2020, Plaintiff filed a subpoena for deposition and production of
13 documents and an amended subpoena for deposition and production of documents. Docket Nos.
14 64, 66. Discovery-related documents must be served on opposing counsel, not filed on the docket
15 unless ordered by the Court. *See* Local Rule 26-7 (stating deposition notices must not be filed
16 until used in proceeding and absent court order); Fed.R.Civ.P. 5(d)(1) (stating deposition notices
17 may not be filed until used in proceeding); *see also* Fed.R.Civ.P. 45(a)(4) (stating notice of intent
18 to serve subpoena “must be *served* on each party”) (emphasis added). No order has been entered
19 in this case requiring Plaintiff to file a deposition notice. Accordingly, the Court **STRIKES** the
20 above-referenced documents, and **INSTRUCTS** Plaintiff to refrain from filing discovery
21 documents on the docket in the future absent a Court order that he do so.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: November 17, 2020

24 
25 Nancy J. Koppe
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28